

## S-Adenosyl Methionine in the Therapy of Depression and Other Psychiatric Disorders

Nataša Karas Kuželički\*

Chair of Clinical Biochemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, Askerceva 7, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

|  |                         | Strategy, Management and I   | Health Policy   |                           |
|--|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|
| Enabling<br>Technology,<br>Genomics,<br>Proteomics | Preclinical<br>Research | Preclinical Development<br>Toxicology, Formulation<br>Drug Delivery,<br>Pharmacokinetics | Clinical Development<br>Phases I-III<br>Regulatory, Quality,<br>Manufacturing | Postmarketing<br>Phase IV |

**ABSTRACT** S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) is a major methyl donor and as such exerts its influence on CNS function through methylation reactions, such as methylation of several catecholamine moiety-containing neurotransmitters, epigenetic changes through methylation of DNA, RNA, RNA-binding proteins and histones, and phospholipid methylation. Based on available evidence, SAM is currently recommended as a next-step (second-line) treatment option following inadequate treatment response to a first-line antidepressant. It shows significant promise in the treatment of pediatric and perinatal depression, as well as Alzheimer's disease, but to make this a recommendation further clinical trials are needed. SAM is safe to use in most patients, but is contraindicated in those with bipolar disorder. Concerns considering the possible increase of homocysteine levels (and cardiovascular complications) due to long-term SAM therapy need to be further addressed in clinical trials taking into account individuals ability to metabolize homocysteine and his/her folate status. Drug Dev Res 77 : 336–346, 2016.

Key words: S-adenosyl methionine; depression; Alzheimer's disease; schizophrenia; bipolar disorder

#### INTRODUCTION

S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) was discovered in 1953 by Giulio Cantoni and was described as the methyl donor formed from methionine and the adenosine moiety of ATP in a reaction catalyzed by methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT). As a major methyl donor SAM is involved in variety of biochemical reactions, making it the second most frequently used enzyme substrate (the first being ATP). Approximately 95% of SAM in the body is used for methylation and the remainder for the polyamine synthesis [Loenen, 2006]. SAM biosynthesis and metabolism is depicted in Figure 1. Therapeutic use of SAM has increased in the United States after the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act was passed in 1994, which allowed the marketing of SAM as a dietary supplement. SAM is also sold as dietary supplement in India, but is classified as a prescription drug in Europe and Russia under several different brand names. As a dietary supplement it has been used for numerous indications, however, as a prescription drug it is only indicated in the treatment of depression, osteoarthritis and liver disease [AHRQ, 2002].

The first indication that folates and metabolically related compounds might have an influence on CNS and psychiatric status came from the coincidental observation that symptoms of depression and psychosis were more frequent in epileptic patients on anticonvulsant therapy than in general population.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Nataša Karas Kuželički, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, Askerceva 7, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. E-mail: natasa.karas@ffa.uni-lj.si

Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary. com). DOI: 10.1002/ddr.21345



**Fig. 1.** SAM-related metabolic pathways. Enzymes are depicted by ovals and their substrates, products, and cofactors by rectangles. DHFR, Dihydrofolate reductase; THF, Tetrahydrofolate; MTHFD1, Methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase 1; MTHFR, 5,10- Methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase; BHMT, Betaine-homocysteine S-methyltransferase; MTR, Methionine synthase; B12, Vitamin B12; MAT, Methionine adeno-syltransferase; SAM, S-adenosyl methionine; SAH, S-adenosyl homocysteine; SAHH, S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase; CBS, Cystathionine-beta-synthase; CTH, Cystathionine gamma-lyase; COMT, Catechol-O-methyltransferase; DNMTs, DNA-methyl transferases; PRMTs, Protein arginine methyltransferases; RNABP, RNA-binding proteins; PEMT, Phosphatidylethanolamine; GNMT, Glycine *N*-methyltransferase; AD, Alzheimers disease.

Further investigation revealed that anticonvulsants were associated low levels of serum folate [Maxwell et al., 1972) which was presumed to be responsible for the psychiatric disturbances. Between 1967 and 1990 numerous studies of depression, bipolar disorder and schizophrenia confirmed the connection between low folate status and those psychiatric diseases. Since the folate cycle is tightly interconnected with methionine recycling and SAM biosynthesis (Fig. 1), and due to its universal metabolic role as major methyl donor, SAM was also intensively investigated as a potential psychiatric drug beginning in 1970. Its efficiency as psychotropic agent was confirmed in numerous clinical trials and some mechanistic studies [Bottiglieri, 2013]. Although the influence of SAM on the CNS was demonstrated by several studies, the mechanism is still somewhat unclear, probably due to its complex nature. Studies indicate that the most probable mechanism of SAM's influence on CNS and its pathological states is through methylation reactions, the three most relevant being: (i) deactivation by methylation of several catecholamine moiety-containing neurotransmitters, which is catalyzed by catechol-Omethyltransferase (COMT); (ii) epigenetic changes through methylation of DNA, RNA, RNA-binding proteins (RNABP) and histones, catalyzed by DNA-(DNMTs) and RNA-methyltransferases, protein arginine methyltransferases (PRMTs) and other histone methyltransferases, respectively; and (iii) phospholipid methylation.

#### INFLUENCE OF SAM ON THE CNS: COMT

COMT catalyzes the first step in a degradation pathway of the catecholamine neurotransmitters, dopamine (DA), noradrenaline and adrenaline. SAM is the enzyme cofactor and donates the methyl group to catechol. Besides catechol and SAM, the active site of the enzyme must also bind divalent magnesium for the reaction to be completed [Tsao et al., 2011]. The COMT gene is located on Chromosome 22q11, with the most common variant Val<sup>158</sup>Met (rs4680) in which single base G to A substitution results in a valine (Val) to methionine (Met) change at codon 158. This substitution reduces COMT activity, causing Met carriers to have higher DA levels in prefrontal cortex (PFC), a region critical for affect, decision making and several psychiatric disorders [He et al., 2012]. Actually, in the setting of stressful life events, carriers of Met allele had higher risk of poor decision making, which is the hallmark of depression, schizophrenia, and addiction disorders [He et al., 2012]. Furthermore, there is a decreased caudate volume in depressed carriers of Met alleles compared to healthy individuals with the same genotype. This effect was not observed in wild-type (Val/Val) individuals [Watanabe et al., 2015]. These changes in caudate volume may be due to the increased DA levels in PFC, which is the consequence of decreased COMT activity (caused by the presence of Met allele). Finally, serotonin can also act as an COMT inhibitor, due to the structural similarity of serotonin indole ring to the adenosine motif of SAM [Tsao et al., 2012]. Computational modeling, and in vitro testing showed that binding of serotonin to the COMT catalytic site inhibits SAM access thus preventing the methylation of COMT substrates [Tsao et al., 2012]. This could explain the synergy between the action of SAM and serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) noted in some clinical trials of depression treatment, since both high intracellular levels of SAM (due to SAM administration) and low intracellular levels of serotonin (due to SSRI action) prevent binding of serotonin to COMT and its consequent inhibition.

#### INFLUENCE OF SAM ON CNS: EPIGENETIC REGULATION

Another possible mechanism of SAM in the CNS may be involve epigenesis, as SAM is a crucial for methylation of key molecules involved in gene expression. The most obvious is DNA-methylation of CpG islands located in promoter and regulatory regions of numerous genes, thus controlling their transcription. Another SAM-regulated epigenetic process is RNA methylation. SAM depletion leads to mRNA hypomethylation and consequently low translation rates and disrupted splicing patterns. Also, hypomethylation of rRNA in the nucleus inhibits its cytoplasmic export, thus further inhibiting mRNA processing. Arginine methylation of RNABPs at arginine flanked by glycine (RGG) domains influences the processing of mRNA associated with specific RNABPs. This type of methylation is catalyzed by PRMTs, the activity of which is dependent on SAM

levels [Trivedi and Deth, 2012]. Finally, SAM is also involved in the methylation of histone lysine residues, which can cause both repression and activation of gene expression depending on which lysine residue is involved [Boks et al., 2012]. Interestingly, several traditional psychiatric drugs can alter the epigenome and experimental compounds with epigenetic targets have been investigated as potential psychiatric drugs. For example, the antidepressants, amitriptyline and esciatlopram and the mood stabilizer, valproate can inhibit DNA methylation through the inhibition and/ or down regulation of DNMTs. The SSRI fluoxetine inhibits histone methylation, while the antipsychotic, clozapine increases expression of histone methyltransferase Mll1 [Boks et al., 2012]. This indicates that concurrent administration of SAM or methionine rich foods might influence conventional pharmacotherapy of mental disorders through common epigenetic pathways. Therefore, SAM might be a good candidate for adjunctive or main therapeutic drug in the management of psychiatric disease [Peedicavil, 2012].

There is evidence that SAM epigenetically modulates the expression of genes coding for inflammatory mediators, for example,  $TNF\alpha$ , IL-10, CCL2 and CCR2 [Pfalzer et al., 2014], and SAM has been reported to have anti-inflammatory effects via reduction of the expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF $\alpha$  through histone [Gobejishvili et al., 2011] and DNA methylation [Pfalzer et al., 2014] and of the chemo-attractant CCL2 and its receptor CCR2 through DNA methylation [Pfalzer et al., 2014]. Conversely, SAM increases expression of the antiinflammatory cytokine, IL-10 through the DNA methylation [Pfalzer et al., 2014]. SAMs antiinflammatory action might be important in the treatment of depression, as inflammation might play a role in its initiation and progression [Pace and Miller, 2009].

#### INFLUENCE OF SAM ON CNS: PHOSPHOLIPID METHYLATION

Methylation of membrane-bound phosphatidylethanolamine by SAM increases cell membrane fluidity. This might alter the organization of lipid rafts and consequently modulate the function of numerous membrane-bound receptors and transporters [Papakostas et al., 2003].

#### SAM IN THE THERAPY OF DEPRESSION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is adisabling and prevalent condition, which influences the work and social performance of an individual. Only 30– 40% of depressed individuals reach symptomatic

| Study                                       | Number of enrolled patients | Study duration<br>in days | SAM dose in<br>mg/day | SAM application route | Efficiency    |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| SAM versus placebo:                         |                             |                           |                       |                       |               |
| [Agnoli et al., 1976]                       | 30                          | 15                        | 45                    | IM                    | SAM > placebo |
| [Barberi and Pusateri, 1978]                | 40                          | 10                        | 200                   | IV                    | SAM > placebo |
| [Muscettola et al., 1982]                   | 20                          | 15                        | 150                   | IM                    | SAM = placebo |
| [Caruso et al., 1984]                       | 49                          | 21                        | 200                   | IM                    | SAM > placebo |
| [Carney et al., 1986]                       | 32                          | 14                        | 200                   | IV                    | SAM = placebo |
| [Caruso et al., 1987]                       | 59                          | 21                        | 200                   | IM                    | SAM > placebo |
| [Thomas et al., 1987]                       | 20                          | 14                        | 200                   | IV                    | SAM = placebo |
| [De Leo et al., 1987]                       | 40                          | 28                        | 200                   | IM                    | SAM > placebo |
| [Janicak et al., 1988]                      | 12                          | 15                        | 400                   | IV                    | SAM > placebo |
| [Kagan et al., 1990]                        | 18                          | 21                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM > placebo |
| [Salmaggi et al., 1993]                     | 80                          | 30                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM > placebo |
| [Fava et al., 1992]                         | 55                          | 42                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM = placebo |
| [Mischoulon et al., 2014] (two site study): | 189                         | 84                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM = placebo |
| • [Sarris et al., 2014], Site 1 (59% males) | 144                         | 84                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM > placebo |
| • Site 2 (31% males)                        | 45                          | 84                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM = placebo |

TABLE 1. Selected Clinical Trials of SAM Efficiency in the Therapy of Depression, Comparing SAM Versus Placebo

Overall, SAM was found to be superior to placebo. However, some earlier studies found no benefit of SAM over placebo. All of these studies used low doses of IM or IV SAM (150–200 mg/day), with the exception of one that used 1,600 mg/day PO. The trials indicated that the minimum SAM therapeutic dose is 400 mg/day, which means that most of the early trials used suboptimal doses. Another reason for negative results in the earlier studies may reflect unstable formulations of SAM, which might contained no active ingredient. Finally, earlier studies enrolled small numbers of patients (less than 100), which decreased statistical power and might lead to ambiguous results. IM, intramuscular; IV, intravenous; PO, per os.

remission after treatment with first-line antidepressants but many individuals experience residual symptoms, including cognitive impairment across multiple domains: attention, working memory, learning, processing speed, and executive functions. Thus, there is an increased awareness of the fact that cognitive remission might be the key element of functional recovery in MDD. Unfortunately, conventional antidepressants do not affect cognitive outcome. Therefore, the search for alternative adjunctive therapies of MDD is ongoing. One of the promising candidates is SAM, which exhibited cognitive improvement in MDD clinical trials [Bortolato et al., 2016].

SAM has been implicated in the pathogenesis of depression. Depressed patients have lower SAM levels in serum and cerebrospinal fluid than healthy controls. They also have lower MAT activity and higher incidence of activity lowering 677C > T variant in the 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene [Papakostas et al., 2003]. Both MAT and MTHFR are key enzymes in the biosynthesis of SAM. Furthermore, depressed elderly patients had increased levels of homocysteine and decreased levels of folate and vitamin B12 [Tiemeier et al., 2002] (Fig. 1).

There have been numerous studies comparing the efficiency of SAM versus placebo and/or conventional antidepressants in treatment of depression (Table 1) [Bressa, 1994; Bottiglieri, 2013]. Overall, the SAM was found to be more efficient as a therapeutic than placebo as assessed in a metaanalysis of early trials [Bressa, 1994]. However, a recent study that included larger number of patients than any of the previous studies, using 1,600 mg/day of SAM PO [Mischoulon et al., 2014] found no difference in the antidepressant activity between SAM and placebo. Reanalysis of data from two different sites, showed that at one of the two, SAM was more effective than placebo [Sarris et al., 2014]. Further analysis revealed that at this site the proportion of male patients was almost two times that at the other site, leading to a conclusion that SAM therapy of depression is more efficient in males than females, which was confirmed by statistical comparisons between sexes at both collection sites [Sarris et al., 2015]. This finding is intriguing, but not surprising in light of the results of healthy population study that males have a significantly lower SAM/SAH ratio, suggesting that SAM therapy might have a greater impact on men [King et al., 2012]. The reason for lower methylation potential in males is unclear, but there is some indication from animal studies that this could be due to the lower MAT [Oscarsson et al., 2001] and higher glycine N-methvltransferase (GNMT) [McMullen et al., 2002] activity in males (Fig. 1).

In studies comparing the antidepressant activity of SAM with that of other conventional

| Study   | Number of enrolled patients | Study duration<br>in days | SAM dose in<br>mg/day | SAM application route | Efficiency               |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| SAM versus antidepressant   |                             |                           |                       |                       |                          |
| [Miccoli et al., 1978], SAM<br>versus Chlorimipramine or<br>Amitryptyline                   | 86                          | 21                        | 200                   | IV                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| [Scarzella and Appiotti, 1978],<br>SAM versus Chlorimipramine                               | 20                          | 15                        | 250                   | IV                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| [Monaco and Quattrocchi,<br>1979], SAM versus<br>Amitryptyline                              | 20                          | 15                        | 200                   | IV                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| [Bell et al., 1988], SAM versus<br>Imipramine   | 22                          | 14                        | 400                   | IV                    | SAM > antidepressant     |
| [Janicak et al., 1988], SAM ver-<br>sus Imipramine  | 10                          | 15                        | 400                   | IV                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| [Bell et al., 1990], SAM versus<br>Desipramine  | 28                          | 28                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| [De Vanna and Rigamonti,<br>1992], SAM versus<br>Imipramine                                 | 30                          | 42                        | 1,600                 | РО                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| [Delle Chiaie et al., 2002],<br>SAM versus Imipramine<br>(multicenter study) <sup>a</sup> : | 571                         | 28/42                     | 400/1,600             | IM/PO                 | SAM = antidepressant     |
| • MC3   | 278                         | 42                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| • MC4   | 293                         | 28                        | 400                   | IM                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| [Mischoulon et al., 2014], SAM<br>versus Escitalopram (two site<br>study)                   | 189                         | 42                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM = antidepressant     |
| • [Sarris et al., 2014], Site 1 (59% males)   | 144                         | 42                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM > antidepressant     |
| • Site 2 (31% males)<br>SAM±SSRI <sup>b</sup> versus<br>Placebo±SSRI <sup>b</sup>           | 45                          | 42                        | 1,600                 | РО                    | not studied              |
| [Papakostas et al., 2010]   | 73                          | 42                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM+SSRIs > Placebo+SSRI |
| [Levkovitz et al., 2012]  | 46                          | 42                        | 1,600                 | PO                    | SAM+SSRIs > Placebo+SSRI |

TABLE 2. Selected Clinical Trials of SAM Efficiency in the Therapy of Depression, Comparing SAM Versus Other Anti-Depressant or SAM in Combination with Several SSRIs Versus Placebo in Combination with SSRIs

<sup>a</sup>MC3 and MC4 are being treated as separate studies, as they have different protocols and their results are reported separately in the same paper.

<sup>b</sup>Fluoxetine, Sertraline, Paroxetine, Citalopram, Escitalopram, Venlafaxine, or Duloxetine.

Overall, SAM was comparable to other antidepressants in a therapy of depression. In two trials SAM was slightly more effective than imipramine and escitalopram. However, these findings might be unreliable, as the first study enrolled very small number of patients (N = 10) and the second had a large proportion of males, which are presumed to have better response to SAM. Two trials demonstrated that SAM enhances the efficacy of SSRIs. Both found that the combination of SAM and SSRI was more effective than placebo and SSRI in the antidepressant nonresponders with MDD, with most prominent improvement in cognitive outcome.

IM, intramuscular; IV, intravenous; PO, per os.

antidepressants, including a large multicenter study with more than 500 patients [Delle Chiaie et al., 2002] (Table 2), SAM was at least equally efficient at treating depression as chlorimipramine, amitryptyline, imipramine, desipramine, and escitalopram.

Two recent studies investigated the efficiency of SAM as an adjunctive therapy to SSRIs in the treatment of MDD resistant to conventional antidepressants and demonstrated that SAM enhanced the therapeutic activity of SSRIs (Table 2). A metaanalysis comparing the efficiency of several add-on treatments in antidepressant nonresponders, found SAM equi-effective to antidepressants, and quetiapine-XR, but more effective than lithium [Turner et al., 2014]. A recent meta-analysis showed that SAM increases the efficiency of conventional antidepressants when used together in the treatment of nonresponsive MDD patients [Sarris et al., 2016]. One study demonstrated that the combination of SAM and betaine as an add-on therapy in depressed patients, who did not respond to conventional antidepressants, was more effective than SAM alone [Di Pierro et al., 2015]. Betaine is an alternative methyl group donor in remethylation of methionine (a SAM precursor) from homocysteine (Fig. 1). Thus, addition of betaine to SAM further increases SAM levels and decreases those of homocysteine. This finding is important, as there have been some concerns about SAMs safety, as its administration might lead to increased homocysteine levels.

Due to its favorable safety profile, SAM may be especially suitable for the treatment of depression in children, adolescents, pregnant women and nursing mothers. Only one small study has examined the effectiveness of SAM in pediatric depression. This included three adolescents (8-16 years) receiving SAM (400-1,200 mg/day) which improved the depressive symptoms in all participants and was well tolerated [Schaller et al., 2004]. Although these results were promising, no further studies in the pediatric population have been conducted as there is little scientific support for SAM use in pediatric depression and further studies are required. As for antenatal depression, no studies have evaluated the efficiency of SAM in its treatment. Five trials investigated the use of SAM in cholestasis of pregnancy and reported a good safety profile. In one placebocontrolled trial for postnatal depression SAM was superior to placebo in reducing symptoms [Deligiannidis and Freeman, 2014]. Further studies are needed before using SAM in the perinatal period.

SAM is currently not recommended as first-line monotherapy treatment for MDD but is recommended as a second-line treatment option following and inadequate treatment response to a first-line antidepressant [Cleare et al., 2015].

#### SAM IN THE THERAPY OF SCHIZOPHRENIA AND BIPOLAR DISORDER

SAM levels are increased in the brain of schizophrenic (SCZ) and bipolar (BPD) patients, but not MDD patients. In fact, concomitant increases in SAM levels and overexpression of DNMT1 led to DNA hypermethylation, leading to decreased expression of critical genes associated with SCZ, for example, RELN, coding for reelin [Guidotti et al., 2007]. Reelin is glycoprotein that controls neural cell migration during embryogenesis and synapse structure and function in adults. Its levels are decreased in SCZ and BPD [Guidotti et al., 2016]. Increased SAM levels may reflect either increased transport of its precursor, methionine, through the blood-brain barrier or decreased activity of the methyltransferases involved in its degradation [Guidotti et al., 2007]. In the latter context, GNMT knock-out mice are used as a murine model of SCZ. GNMT has the highest SAM to SAH turnover rate among all known methyltransferases and is thus very important in controlling SAM levels [Yang et al., 2012]. It can be assumed that SAM treatment would exacerbate the symptoms of SCZ and BPD and that it only be safe in patients with decreased COMT activity which is typical in SCZ. Two studies of SAM therapy in SCZ and BPD are described in Table 3.

#### SAM IN THE THERAPY OF ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE

The association between Alzheimer's disease (AD) and SAM levels are somewhat unclear. Older studies reported low levels of SAM in CSF [Bottiglieri et al., 1990] and brain [Morrison et al., 1996] of AD patients, while a more recent study found increased plasma SAM levels in AD patients [Selley, 2007]. Increased SAM levels were observed in triple knockdown APP/APLP1/APLP2 cell line, probably a consequence of decreased MAT2A expression [Schrotter et al., 2012]. The authors noted that apparent discrepancies between different studies may be due to SAM levels being regulated by two MAT, which are coded by two different genes: MAT1A and MAT2A that have opposing effects on SAM levels [Schrotter et al., 2012]. Taken together, the murine [Lee et al., 2012] and early human studies [Shea and Chan, 2008] demonstrate that SAM can positively affect hallmarks of AD (presenilin-1 expression,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -secretase activity, amyloid- $\beta$  generation, phospho-tau accumulation and acetylcholine synthesis), as well as its clinical manifestations (depression, cognition, and aggression). Recent clinical trials of AD using SAM as a part of nutritional formulation (NF) are presented in Table 3.

# SAM IN OTHER NEUROLOGIC AND PSYCHIATRIC CONDITIONS

The 22q11.2 deletion or DiGeorge syndrome is associated with high rates of SCZ-like psychosis, depression and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, probably due to a missing copy of COMT gene, which is located within the deletion region. SAM showed no improvement in psychiatric symptoms but was well tolerated (Table 3) [Green et al., 2012].

In 2006 a dramatic improvement in selfinjurious behavior (SIB) in a patient with Lesch-Nyhan syndrome (LNS) after SAM administration was reported [Glick, 2006]. However, a subsequent study [Dolcetta et al., 2013] gave mixed results (Table 3)

#### SAM STABILITY, DOSING, AND SAFETY PROFILE

Although pharmaceutical grade SAM is available in Europe, some brands marketed on internet may contain no or very little active ingredient, since SAM

| TABLE 3. Selected Clinic<br>Syndrome  | al Trials of SAM Efficienc  | y in the Therapy of 9   | Schizophrenia, Bipolar  | Disorder, Alzheimer's Disease, 22q11.2   | Deletion Syndrome, and Lesch-Nyhan   |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Study   | Number of<br>enrolled patients  | Study duration<br>in days   | SAM dose in<br>mg/day   | Study design   | Results  |
| Schizophrenia:<br>[Strous et al., 2009]   | 18  | 56  | 800 mg/day  | Double-blind Placebo-controlled  | Improvement in aggression levels   |
| Bipolar disorder:<br>[Murphy et al., 2014]  | 17  | 28  | 400-800 mg/day  | Double-blind Placebo-controlled  | No improvement of symptoms   |
| Alzheimer's disease:<br>[Chan et al., 2010]   | 115 (healthy subjects,<br>Phase I study)  | 84 (+84)  | 800 mg/day  | Nandomized<br>Double-blind Placebo-controlled<br>Randomized  | no mana maucuon<br>Improved cognitive performance  |
| [Remington et al.,<br>2015]   | 106<br>(AD patients,<br>Phase II study)   | 84–168 (+168)   | 800 mg/day  | (open-label extension)<br>Double-blind Placebo-controlled<br>Randomized<br>(open-label extension)  | Improved cognitive performance, with specific improvement in memory domain   |
| 22q11.2 deletion<br>syndrome:<br>[Green et al., 2012]   | 12  | 84  | 1,600 mg/day  | Double-blind Placebo-controlled  | No improvement of psychiatric symptoms,<br>cam woll tolerated  |
| Lesch-Nyhan<br>syndrome:<br>[Dolcetta et al., 2013]   | 14  | 168   | 400–1,600 mg/day  | Open-label Dose-escalation   | 4/14 improvement of self-injurious behavior<br>10/14 worsening of self-injurious behavior<br>(did not reach full dose)   |
| One study explored the t<br>of the nine patients had t<br>of SAM treatment, a rand<br>ference in the improvem<br>400 µg folic acid, 6 µg I<br>stages of AD, which high<br>respectively. Since the res | Jae of SAM as treatment find discontinue SAM treatm<br>ionized clinical trial on S.<br>Tent of clinical symptoms lent of clinical symptoms last,<br>312, 30 I.U.a-tocopherol,<br>ilights the importance of esponse to SAM in LNS is used. | or aggressive behavit<br>nent due to irritability<br>AM use in refractory<br>between SAM and p<br>400 mg SAM, 600<br>aarly nutritional inter<br>unpredictable, very c | or in schizophrenia in (<br>^ and the study had to<br>bipolar disorder was c.<br>lacebo group. More ree<br>mg N-acetyl cysteine,<br>vention. The treatment<br>areful administration w | COMT deficient patients. Although there<br>be terminated early in the interest of pati<br>onducted, although with low doses of SA<br>cent clinical trials of AD used SAM as a<br>and 500 mg acetyl-L-carnitine. NF was<br>t of 24 22q11.2 deletion and LNS patien<br>vith monitoring over a 2 months period is | was some improvement in aggression levels, two<br>ent safety. Although mania is a known side effect<br>M. SAM was well tolerated, but there was no dif-<br>part of nutritional formulation (NF), consisting of<br>more effective for individuals at earlier than late<br>ts with SAM was ineffective or partially effective,<br>recommended. |

352

### KARAS KUŽELIČKI

|   | Number of enrolled                                    | Study duration    | SAM dose in          |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Study   | individuals   | in days           | mg/day               | Study design                                   | Results  | Other noted side effects   |
| [Goren et al., 2004]                                    | 15 (healthy<br>individuals)                           | 28                | 1,600 mg/day         | Open-label Single arm                          | No increase of homocyste-<br>ine levels during and after<br>SAM treatment        | Mild gastrointestinal symptoms<br>One individual with family<br>history of bipolar disorder  |
| [Thompson et al., 2009]                                 | 52 (healthy<br>individuals)                           | 28                | 800 mg/day           | Double-blind Placebo-<br>controlled Randomized | No increase of homocyste-<br>ine levels during and after<br>SAM treatment        | nau manuc reaction<br>Small increase in ALT at week<br>2 in SAM group<br>Small decrease in total cho-<br>lesterol at week 4 in SAM |
| [Mischoulon et al., 2012]                               | 35 (MDD patients,<br>who were SSRI<br>non-responders) | 42                | 800-1,600 mg/day     | Double-blind Placebo-<br>controlled Randomized | No increase of homocyste-<br>ine levels during and after<br>SAM + SSRI treatment | group<br>Increase of SAH levels after<br>SAM + SSRI treatment  |
| Two studies on healthy in<br>increase of SAH in the SAM | dividuals and one on N<br>M + SSRI arm.               | ADD patients foun | d no increase of hom | nocysteine levels during and                   | after SAM therapy. Trial on ME   | OD patients noted the significan   |

alanine aminotransferase

ALT.

is rapidly oxidized when exposed to air. Therefore, tablet quality is very important, as is their storage (in individual blister packs) to achieve adequate efficiency. The absorption is optimal when SAM is taken 20 min before the meal. It should not be taken after 4:00 PM, as it can cause sleep disturbance [Bottiglieri, 2013].

The usual starting dose of SAM is 400 mg/day with increases every 5–7 days to a maximum of 1,600 mg/day (given in two doses). Improvement is usually seen within 10 days, but may take several weeks [Bottiglieri, 2013].

The most common side effects of SAM therapy are gastrointestinal and include nausea, diarrhea, and, rarely vomiting. Because it can induce mania, SAM is contraindicated in patients with BPD [Bottiglieri, 2013]. There was one case-report describing the suicide attempt by self-burning in a depressed patient 4 days after SAM initiation, although in this case there were several other potential risk factors present besides the SAM administration [Chitiva et al., 2012]. One of the greatest concerns regarding safety of SAM therapy is the possible increase in homocysteine levels (Fig. 1), which is associated with higher risk of cardiovascular disease. SAM safety studies considering homocysteine levels are presented in Table 4. Since little is known about the safety of S-adenosyl-homocysteine (SAH), and because neither of these studies included individuals with baseline increased homocysteine levels, further safety studies of SAM that take into account folate status are warranted. Namely, individuals trans-sulfuration with deficient and/or remethylation pathways, as well as folate and/or vitamin B12 deficiency might not be able to eliminate excessive homocysteine and might be at risk of cardiovascular events when taking SAM for longer periods of time.

#### CONCLUSION

SAM is a universal methyl donor, available either as a prescription drug or nutritional supplement, showing promise in the treatment of MMD and AD. For the treatment of MDD in adults it is recommended as a second-line therapy in first-line antidepressant nonresponders, while its potential use in perinatal and pediatric depression and AD therapy requires further study. SAM has a good safety profile, but is contraindicated in patients with BPD. Further studies to assess its influence on the cardiovascular system in the setting of genetic or nutritional SAM deficiency are also needed.

#### REFERENCES

- Agnoli A, Andreoli V, Casacchia M, Cerbo R. 1976. Effect of sadenosyl-l-methionine (SAMe) upon depressive symptoms. J Psychiatr Res 13:43–54.
- AHRQ (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality) USDfhahs. 2002. S-Adenosyl-L-Methionine for tratment of depression, osteoarthritis, and liver disease. AHFQ Evidence Report 64. http://archive.ahrq.gov/clinic/epcsums/samesum.htm. Retrieved June 1 2016.
- Barberi A, Pusateri C. 1978. Sugli effetti clinici della s-adenosilmetionina (SAMe) nelle sindromi depressive. Minerva Psichiatr 19:235–244.
- Bell KM, Plon L, Bunney WE Jr., Potkin SG. 1988. S-adenosylmethionine treatment of depression: a controlled clinical trial. Am J Psychiatry 145:1110–1114.
- Bell MB, Carreon D, Plon L, Bunney WE, Potkin SG. 1990. Oral s-adenosylmethionine in the treatment of depression: a doubleblind comparison with desipramine. Study Report. BioResearch File.
- Boks MP, de Jong NM, Kas MJ, Vinkers CH, Fernandes C, Kahn RS, Mill J, Ophoff RA. 2012. Current status and future prospects for epigenetic psychopharmacology. Epigenetics 7:20–28.
- Bortolato B, Miskowiak KW, Kohler CA, Maes M, Fernandes BS, Berk M, Carvalho AF. 2016. Cognitive remission: a novel objective for the treatment of major depression? BMC Med 14:9–26.
- Bottiglieri T. 2013. Folate, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, and S-adenosylmethionine. Psychiatr Clin North Am 36:1–13.
- Bottiglieri T, Godfrey P, Flynn T, Carney MW, Toone BK, Reynolds EH. 1990. Cerebrospinal fluid S-adenosylmethionine in depression and dementia: effects of treatment with parenteral and oral S-adenosylmethionine. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 53:1096–1098.
- Bressa GM. 1994. S-adenosyl-l-methionine (SAMe) as antidepressant: meta-analysis of clinical studies. Acta Neurol Scand Suppl 154:7–14.
- Carney MW, Edeh J, Bottiglieri T, Reynolds EM, Toone BK. 1986. Affective illness and S-adenosyl methionine: a preliminary report. Clin Neuropharmacol 9:379–385.
- Caruso I, Fumagalli M, Boccazzini L. 1987. Treatment of depression in rheumatoid arthritic patients. A comaprison of Sadenosylmethionine (Samyr) and placebo in a double-blind study. Clin Trials J 24:305–311.
- Caruso I, Fumagalli M, Boccassini L, Sarzi Puttini P, Ciniselli G, Cavallari G. 1984. Antidepressant activity of S-adenosylmethionine. Lancet 1:904.
- Chan A, Remington R, Kotyla E, Lepore A, Zemianek J, Shea TB. 2010. A vitamin/nutriceutical formulation improves memory and cognitive performance in community-dwelling adults without dementia. J Nutr Health Aging 14:224–230.
- Chitiva H, Audivert F, Alvarez C. 2012. Suicide attempt by selfburning associated with ingestion of S-adenosylmethionine: a review of the literature and case report. J Nerv Ment Dis 200: 99–101.
- Cleare A, Pariante CM, Young AH, Anderson IM, Christmas D, Cowen PJ, Dickens C, Ferrier IN, Geddes J Gilbody S, et al. 2015. Evidence-based guidelines for treating depressive disorders with antidepressants: a revision of the 2008 British Association for Psychopharmacology guidelines. J Psychopharmacol 29:459–525.

- De Leo D, Serraiotto L, Pellegrini C, Magni G, Franceschi L, Deriu GP. 1987. Outcome from carotid endarterectomy. Neuropsychological performances, depressive symptoms and quality of life: 8-month follow-up. Int J Psychiatry Med 17:317– 325.
- De Vanna M, Rigamonti R. 1992. Oral S-adenosyl-L-Methionine in depression. Curr Ther Res Clin Exp 52:478–486.
- Deligiannidis KM, Freeman MP. 2014. Complementary and alternative medicine therapies for perinatal depression. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 28:85–95.
- Delle Chiaie R, Pancheri P, Scapicchio P. 2002. Efficacy and tolerability of oral and intramuscular S-adenosyl-L-methionine 1,4-butanedisulfonate (SAMe) in the treatment of major depression: comparison with imipramine in 2 multicenter studies. Am J Clin Nutr 76:1172S–1176S.
- Di Pierro F, Orsi R, Settembre R. 2015. Role of betaine in improving the antidepressant effect of S-adenosyl-methionine in patients with mild-to-moderate depression. J Multidiscip Healthc 8:39–45.
- Dolcetta D, Parmigiani P, Salmaso L, Bernardelle R, Cesari U, Andrighetto G, Baschirotto G, Nyhan WL, Hladnik U. 2013. Quantitative evaluation of the clinical effects of S-adenosylmethionine on mood and behavior in Lesch-Nyhan patients. Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 32:174–188.
- Fava M, Rosenbaum JF, Birnbaum R, Kelly K, Otto MW, MacLaughlin R. 1992. The thyrotropin response to thyrotropinreleasing hormone as a predictor of response to treatment in depressed outpatients. Acta Psychiatr Scand 86:42–45.
- Glick N. 2006. Dramatic reduction in self-injury in Lesch-Nyhan disease following S-adenosylmethionine administration. I Inherit Metab Dis 29:687.
- Gobejishvili L, Avila DV, Barker DF, Ghare S, Henderson D, Brock GN, Kirpich IA, Joshi-Barve S, Mokshagundam SP McClain CJ, et al. 2011. S-adenosylmethionine decreases lipopolysaccharide-induced phosphodiesterase 4B2 and attenuates tumor necrosis factor expression via cAMP/protein kinase A pathway. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 337:433–443.
- Goren JL, Stoll AL, Damico KE, Sarmiento IA, Cohen BM. 2004. Bioavailability and lack of toxicity of S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAMe) in humans. Pharmacotherapy 24:1501–1507.
- Green T, Steingart L, Frisch A, Zarchi O, Weizman A, Gothelf D. 2012. The feasibility and safety of S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAMe) for the treatment of neuropsychiatric symptoms in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 119:1417–1423.
- Guidotti A, Grayson DR, Caruncho HJ. 2016. Epigenetic RELN dysfunction in schizophrenia and related neuropsychiatric disorders. Front Cell Neurosci 10:89.
- Guidotti A, Ruzicka W, Grayson DR, Veldic M, Pinna G, Davis JM, Costa E. 2007. S-adenosyl methionine and DNA methyltransferase-1 mRNA overexpression in psychosis. Neuroreport 18:57–60.
- He Q, Xue G, Chen C, Lu ZL, Lei X, Liu Y, Li J, Zhu B, Moyzis RK Dong Q, et al. 2012. COMT Val158Met polymorphism interacts with stressful life events and parental warmth to influence decision making. Sci Rep 2:677.
- Janicak PG, Lipinski J, Davis JM, Comaty JE, Waternaux C, Cohen B, Altman E, Sharma RP. 1988. S-adenosylmethionine in depression. A literature review and preliminary report. Ala J Med Sci 25:306–313.

- Kagan BL, Sultzer DL, Rosenlicht N, Gerner RH. 1990. Oral Sadenosylmethionine in depression: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Psychiatry 147:591–595.
- King WD, Ho V, Dodds L, Perkins SL, Casson RI, Massey TE. 2012. Relationships among biomarkers of one-carbon metabolism. Mol Biol Rep 39:7805–7812.
- Lee S, Lemere CA, Frost JL, Shea TB. 2012. Dietary supplementation with S-adenosyl methionine delayed amyloid-beta and tau pathology in 3xTg-AD mice. J Alzheimers Dis 28:423–431.
- Levkovitz Y, Alpert JE, Brintz CE, Mischoulon D, Papakostas GI. 2012. Effects of S-adenosylmethionine augmentation of serotonin-reuptake inhibitor antidepressants on cognitive symptoms of major depressive disorder. J Affect Disord 136:1174– 1178.
- Loenen WA. 2006. S-adenosylmethionine: jack of all trades and master of everything? Biochem Soc Trans 34:330–333.
- Maxwell JD, Hunter J, Stewart DA, Ardeman S, Williams R. 1972. Folate deficiency after anticonvulsant drugs: an effect of hepatic enzyme induction? Br Med J 1:297–299.
- McMullen MH, Rowling MJ, Ozias MK, Schalinske KL. 2002. Activation and induction of glycine N-methyltransferase by retinoids are tissue- and gender-specific. Arch Biochem Biophys 401:73–80.
- Miccoli L, Porro V, Bertolino A. 1978. Comparison between the antidepressant activity and of S-adenosylmethionine (SAMe) and that of some tricyclic drugs. Acta Neurol (Napoli) 33:243– 255.
- Mischoulon D, Alpert JE, Arning E, Bottiglieri T, Fava M, Papakostas GI. 2012. Bioavailability of S-adenosyl methionine and impact on response in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 73:843–848.
- Mischoulon D, Price LH, Carpenter LL, Tyrka AR, Papakostas GI, Baer L, Dording CM, Clain AJ, Durham K Walker R, et al. 2014. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial of S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAMe) versus escitalopram in major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 75:370–376.
- Monaco P, Quattrocchi F. 1979. [Study of the antidepressive effects of a biological transmethylating agent (S-adenosylmethione or SAM)]. Riv Neurol 49:417–439.
- Morrison LD, Smith DD, Kish SJ. 1996. Brain S-adenosylmethionine levels are severely decreased in Alzheimer's disease. J Neurochem 67:1328–1331.
- Murphy BL, Babb SM, Ravichandran C, Cohen BM. 2014. Oral SAMe in persistent treatment-refractory bipolar depression: a double-blind, randomized clinical trial. J Clin Psychopharmacol 34:413–416.
- Muscettola G, Galzenati M, Balbi A. 1982. SAMe versus placebo: a double blind comparison in major depressive disorders. Adv Biochem Psychopharmacol 32:151–156.
- Oscarsson J, Gardmo C, Eden S, Mode A. 2001. Pulsatile growth hormone secretion decreases S-adenosylmethionine synthetase in rat liver. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 280:E280–E286.
- Pace TW, Miller AH. 2009. Cytokines and glucocorticoid receptor signaling. Relevance to major depression. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1179:86–105.
- Papakostas GI, Alpert JE, Fava M. 2003. S-adenosyl-methionine in depression: a comprehensive review of the literature. Curr Psychiatry Rep 5:460–466.

- Papakostas GI, Mischoulon D, Shyu I, Alpert JE, Fava M. 2010. S-adenosyl methionine (SAMe) augmentation of serotonin reuptake inhibitors for antidepressant nonresponders with major depressive disorder: a double-blind, randomized clinical trial. Am J Psychiatry 167:942–948.
- Peedicayil J. 2012. Role of epigenetics in pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy and nutritional management of mental disorders. J Clin Pharm Ther 37:499–501.
- Pfalzer AC, Choi SW, Tammen SA, Park LK, Bottiglieri T, Parnell LD, Lamon-Fava S. 2014. S-adenosylmethionine mediates inhibition of inflammatory response and changes in DNA methylation in human macrophages. Physiol Genomics 46:617–623.
- Remington R, Bechtel C, Larsen D, Samar A, Doshanjh L, Fishman P, Luo Y, Smyers K, Page R Morrell C, et al. 2015. A phase II randomized clinical trial of a nutritional formulation for cognition and mood in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 45:395–405.
- Salmaggi P, Bressa GM, Nicchia G, Coniglio M, La Greca P, Le Grazie C. 1993. Double-blind, placebo-controlled study of Sadenosyl-L-methionine in depressed postmenopausal women. Psychother Psychosom 59:34–40.
- Sarris J, Murphy J, Mischoulon D, Papakostas GI, Fava M, Berk M, Ng CH. 2016. Adjunctive nutraceuticals for depression: a systematic review and meta-analyses. Am J Psychiatry 173:575– 587.
- Sarris J, Papakostas GI, Vitolo O, Fava M, Mischoulon D. 2014. S-adenosyl methionine (SAMe) versus escitalopram and placebo in major depression RCT: efficacy and effects of histamine and carnitine as moderators of response. J Affect Disord 164:76–81.
- Sarris J, Price LH, Carpenter LL, Tyrka AR, Ng CH, Papakostas GI, Jaeger A, Fava M, Mischoulon D. 2015. Is S-adenosyl methionine (SAMe) for depression only effective in males? a re-analysis of data from a randomized clinical trial. Pharmacopsychiatry 48:141–144.
- Scarzella R, Appiotti A. 1978. Confronto clinico in doppio cieco della SAMe versus clorimipramina nelle sindromi depressive. Rivisita Sperimentale Di Feniatria 102:359–366.
- Schaller JL, Thomas J, Bazzan AJ. 2004. SAMe use in children and adolescents. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 13:332–334.
- Schrotter A, Pfeiffer K, El Magraoui F, Platta HW, Erdmann R, Meyer HE, Egensperger R, Marcus K, Muller T. 2012. The amyloid precursor protein (APP) family members are key players in S-adenosylmethionine formation by MAT2A and modify BACE1 and PSEN1 gene expression-relevance for Alzheimer's disease. Mol Cell Proteomics 11:1274–1288.
- Selley ML. 2007. A metabolic link between S-adenosylhomocysteine and polyunsaturated fatty acid metabolism in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 28:1834–1839.
- Shea TB, Chan A. 2008. S-adenosyl methionine: a natural therapeutic agent effective against multiple hallmarks and risk factors associated with Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 13:67–70.
- Strous RD, Ritsner MS, Adler S, Ratner Y, Maayan R, Kotler M, Lachman H, Weizman A. 2009. Improvement of aggressive behavior and quality of life impairment following S-adenosylmethionine (SAM-e) augmentation in schizophrenia. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 19:14–22.
- Thomas CS, Bottiglieri T, Edeh J, Carney MW, Reynolds EH, Toone BK. 1987. The influence of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) on prolactin in depressed patients. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 2:97–102.

- Thompson MA, Bauer BA, Loehrer LL, Cha SS, Mandrekar JN, Sood A, Wahner-Roedler DL. 2009. Dietary supplement Sadenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet) effects on plasma homocysteine levels in healthy human subjects: a double-blind, placebocontrolled, randomized clinical trial. J Altern Complement Med 15:523–529.
- Tiemeier H, van Tuijl HR, Hofman A, Meijer J, Kiliaan AJ, Breteler MM. 2002. Vitamin B12, folate, and homocysteine in depression: the Rotterdam Study. Am J Psychiatry 159:2099–2101.
- Trivedi MS, Deth RC. 2012. Role of a redox-based methylation switch in mRNA life cycle (pre- and post-transcriptional maturation) and protein turnover: implications in neurological disorders. Front Neurosci 6:92.
- Tsao D, Diatchenko L, Dokholyan NV. 2011. Structural mechanism of S-adenosyl methionine binding to catechol O-methyltransferase. PLoS One 6:e24287.
- Tsao D, Wieskopf JS, Rashid N, Sorge RE, Redler RL, Segall SK, Mogil JS, Maixner W, Dokholyan NV, Diatchenko L. 2012.

Serotonin-induced hypersensitivity via inhibition of catechol Omethyltransferase activity. Mol Pain 8:25.

- Turner P, Kantaria R, Young AH. 2014. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the evidence base for add-on treatment for patients with major depressive disorder who have not responded to antidepressant treatment: a European perspective. J Psychopharmacol 28:85–98.
- Watanabe K, Kakeda S, Yoshimura R, Abe O, Ide S, Hayashi K, Katsuki A, Umene-Nakano W, Watanabe R Nakamura J, et al. 2015. Relationship between the catechol-O-methyl transferase Val108/158Met genotype and brain volume in treatment-naive major depressive disorder: voxel-based morphometry analysis. Psychiatry Res 233:481–487.
- Yang CP, Wang HA, Tsai TH, Fan A, Hsu CL, Chen CJ, Hong CJ, Chen YM. 2012. Characterization of the neuropsychological phenotype of glycine N-methyltransferase-/- mice and evaluation of its responses to clozapine and sarcosine treatments. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 22:596–606.