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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Conventional antidepressants usually require several weeks to achieve a full clinical response in patients with major depressive disorder, an illness associated with dysregulated circadian rhythms and a high incidence of suicidality. Two rapid-acting antidepressant strategies, low-dose ketamine (KT) and sleep deprivation (SD) therapies, dramatically reduce depressive symptoms within 24 hours in a subset of major depressive disorder patients. However, it is unknown whether they exert their actions through shared regulatory mechanisms. To address this question, we performed comparative transcriptomics analyses to identify candidate genes and relevant pathways common to KT and SD.

METHODS: We used the forced swim test, a standardized behavioral approach to measure antidepressant-like activity of KT and SD. We investigated gene expression changes using high-density microarrays and pathway analyses (Gene Ontology, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis) in KT- and SD-treated mice compared with saline-treated control male mice.

RESULTS: We show that KT and SD elicit common transcriptional responses implicating distinct elements of the circadian clock and processes involved in neuronal plasticity. There is an overlap of 64 genes whose expression is common in KT and SD. Specifically, there is downregulation of clock genes including Ciart, Per2, Npas4, Dbp, and Rorb in both KT- and SD-treated mice.

CONCLUSIONS: We demonstrate a potential involvement of the circadian clock in rapid antidepressant responses. These findings could open new research avenues to help design chronopharmacological strategies to treat major depressive disorder.
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Major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the most serious and common psychiatric disorders in the United States. According to the latest statistics, the National Institute of Mental Health estimated that 15.7 million adults over the age of 18 years in the United States had at least one major depressive episode, representing 6.7% of all U.S. adults (1). The two most rapid-acting antidepressant strategies, low-dose ketamine (KT) and sleep deprivation (SD) therapies, motivated a large number of studies into their mechanisms of action. In contrast to conventional antidepressants that can take weeks for full clinical response, 40% to 60% of patients with depression improve within hours of treatment (2,3). Importantly, both KT and SD decrease suicidality (4–10).

Circadian rhythms are intimately linked to the sleep-wake cycle (11). A subgroup of MDD patients has altered circadian processes including sleep, mood, temperature, and hormone secretions, all of which are regulated by circadian clock genes (12). Findings show a significant correlation between symptom severity and the degree of desynchronization. Moreover, many rhythms normalize as symptoms remit (13–16).

SD therapy usually involves keeping patients awake for approximately 36 hours. We proposed that by altering the sleep-wake cycle, the abnormal circadian clock genes that control rhythms could be reset (17,18). Although relapse can occur following recovery sleep, improvement can be sustained for weeks by circadian-related treatments. These include slowly advancing bedtimes (sleep-phase advance) and exposure to morning bright light (19).

Circadian studies of clock genes in mice show that in response to sleep deprivation, a subset of circadian clock genes (e.g., Per1, Per2) appear to behave as immediate early genes and are transcriptionally responsive within hours of treatment (20–23). It was also shown that depriving animals of sleep suppresses approximately 80% of rhythmic genes in the mouse (22,24).
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Compared with SD, KT’s action on the circadian clock genes is less clear. In our earlier research in neuronal cell culture (NG108-15), we demonstrated KT’s effect in repressing circadian expression of a group of genes essential to maintaining circadian rhythmicity. We found dose-dependent reductions in the amplitude of circadian transcription including Bmal1, Per2, and Cry1 genes (25).

We propose that SD and KT may share common mechanisms of action that converge on circadian-related processes that act to accelerate antidepressant response (12). In this study, we performed comparative transcriptome analyses in SD- and KT-treated mice to identify candidate genes and pathways common to both treatments.

The first direct evidence for the dysregulation of the clock genes in the MDD brain comes from a microarray study. Control brains showed robust circadian rhythms across six brain areas that were dramatically altered in matched MDD patients. Of the brain regions studied, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) showed the most significant disruption in clock gene rhythms (26). The ACC is a major component of an extended neural network thought to regulate mood, and a growing body of data implicates the ACC as a key brain region associated with depression (27). Functional brain imaging studies show that increased activation of the ACC significantly correlates with improvement to a wide range of interventions including low-dose KT (28) and SD therapies (29).

Given the similarities in the rapid antidepressive effects elicited by KT and SD therapies, we hypothesized that these treatments may act through common molecular pathways in the ACC. To address this question, we analyzed the whole transcriptome in the ACC in groups of mice subjected to KT or SD therapies, we hypothesized that these elicited by KT and SD therapies, we hypothesized that these treatments may act through common molecular pathways in the ACC. To address this question, we analyzed the whole transcriptome in the ACC in groups of mice subjected to KT or SD therapy and compared them with a control group. We show that KT and SD antidepressant treatments activate common pathways and neuronal functions including synaptic plasticity, neurogenesis, and, notably, the circadian clock.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Animals

Animals and protocols used in this study were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Irvine. Six-month-old male mice from the C57BL6/J strain were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME).

Two groups of 4 mice each were habituated for 1 week in standard cages. A third group (n = 4) was habituated to a circular cage containing a rotatory bar that was stationary during habituation. All groups were housed with ad libitum food access and water at 24°C to 25°C room temperature. After habituation, mice were either sleep deprived (SD) or injected with ketamine (KT) or saline (control mice).

Sleep Deprivation

Mice housed in the circular cage were kept awake for 12 hours (zeitgeber time [ZT] 0 to ZT 12) by a slowly rotating bar (1.5 revolutions/min), suspended 1 cm above the floor and bedding within the mouse cage (30). During the SD period, ad libitum food and water were provided.

Ketamine

KT-treated mice were injected intraperitoneally with a KT solution of 3 mg/kg at ZT 5.

Control Mice

Control mice were injected intraperitoneally with saline solution in a volume of 100 μL/0.03 kg at ZT 5.

Forced Swim Test

We conducted the forced swim test (FST) 7 hours post-injection (ZT 12) of KT or saline. SD-treated mice were subjected to the FST at ZT 12 (following SD). FST was conducted according to a standardized protocol (31). Mice were placed in a cylindrical container (height 30 cm, diameter 20 cm) filled with tap water at a temperature of 25°C. Measurements were recorded in dark conditions using an infrared recording system for a period of 8 minutes. The immobility time was measured as 1-minute bins to identify subtle behavioral differences between the different experimental groups. At the end of the FST, the animals were gently dried and euthanized.

ACC Microdissection and RNA Isolation

Immediately after euthanization, brains were extracted, frozen in dry ice, and stored at -80°C. The microdissection of the ACC (bregma 1.34 to −0.5 mm) (Figure 1C) has been previously described (32). Total RNA was extracted from each sample using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following manufacturer’s instructions and scaled 1:10. Total RNA was resuspended in 30 μL of RNase-free water and quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 50 ng of RNA using the cDNA Synthesis kit iScript (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The obtained cDNA was then diluted 1:10 and 2 μL were used as the template for reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification using SYBR Green (Bio-Rad) as the fluorogenic intercalating dye and the CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad). The housekeeping gene β-actin was used as a control. The remaining RNA was used for microarray experiments. The primers used for reverse transcriptase PCR amplification are presented in Supplemental Table S3.

Microarray Analysis

The remaining TRIzol-extracted RNA was diluted to 100 μL final volume of RNase-free water and cleaned using the Qiagen RNeasy Mini purification kit (Redwood, CA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Eluted RNA was quantified with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. The quality of the RNA was assessed on an Agilent BioAnalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Probe synthesis and chip hybridization were performed at the Genomic High-Throughput Facility at the University of California, Irvine. Briefly, 100 ng of total RNA per sample was used as a template to obtain cDNA with the GeneChip cDNA synthesis Kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). Mouse Gene ST arrays 2.0 (Affymetrix) were used to determine the transcriptome expression levels in the three groups of mice. The arrays were scanned in the GeneChip Scanner 3000.
7G (Affymetrix), and the Command Console Software was used to produce the .CEL intensity file. The obtained data were analyzed in Affymetrix Expression Console software v1.1.1 using the PLIER algorithm to generate probe level summarization files (*.CHP). (Algorithm: PLIER version 2.0; quantification scale: linear; quantification type: signal and detection p value; background: GC composition-based background correction (PM-GCBG); normalization method: sketch-quantile). For each experimental condition, three microarrays were used.

Data Analysis

Each experimental group—SD or KT—was compared with the saline control. Because we analyzed simultaneously the expression profile in two experimental conditions, we selected genes using a moderate stringency (p < .05). This approach is commonly used to characterize brain function (33,34). For each experimental group, the obtained list of genes were hierarchically classified using the Gene Cluster program (35).

To this end, the expression level of each gene was first log transformed and then median centered (relatively to its median expression across all samples), so that relative variations rather than absolute values were used for interpretation. The clustering method used here was an average linkage with the Pearson correlation coefficient as similarity metric. Results were displayed using the TreeView program (35). The list of genes were then classified according with its function using the Gene Ontology (GO) database and the functional classification tool Genecodis server (http://genecodis.cnb.csic.es/) (36). This latter program assigns genes to functional groups within various ontologies and calculates the statistical probability (hypergeometric p value corrected by false discovery rate method) of a particular functional group being overrepresented or underrepresented. We further classified the list of transcripts according to their functions with the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) using matrix, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), GO molecular function, and biological properties. We used the following parameters: 1000 permutations; enrichment statistics as weighted; metric of ranking genes was signal to noise; minimal set of genes of 15. Then we merged the two sets of results from the SD and KT groups in a network using the Enrichment Map, version 2.01 (University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada) for Cytoscape, version 3.2.1 (Agilent Technologies). We used a cutoff of p < .05. The data from the FST were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance as repeated measures to compare treatment and 1-minute bins as time, followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test, n = 4 per group. (Figure 1A) Mice were divided into three groups and were administered either KT (3 mg/kg intraperitoneally [i.p.] at zeitgeber time [ZT] 5) group A) or saline (Sal, 100 μL/0.03 kg i.p.) at ZT 6 (group B, which is the control) or underwent SD (animals were kept awake from ZT 0 to ZT 12) (group C). All treatment groups were assessed for antidepressant activity on the forced swim test (FST) starting at ZT 12. At ZT 13, animals were euthanized and brains were dissected. (B) (Left) The bar graph depicts differences in immobility times in KT- and SD-treated mice versus saline (control mice) on the FST (p < .05; t test). Differences between SD and KT immobility times were non-significant (ns). (Right) Graph indicates the expression of immobility in 1-minute bins, (two-way analysis of variance [ANOVA], followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test, n = 4 per group). (C) Coronal section of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (analogous to the human anterior cingulate cortex) was microdissected for gene expression analyses (shaded area). (D) Heat maps showing transcriptionally altered genes in KT or SD mice depicting significant differences on gene expression (p < .05). Red indicates upregulated transcripts; green indicates downregulated transcripts (p < .05, t test, KT or SD compared with control mice; n = 3 per group).
Bonferroni’s post hoc test for multiple comparisons. The probability of gene overlap was calculated by hypergeometric test using R software, version 3.3.1 (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria). Unpaired Student’s t test was used to compare the total immobility time between groups. Data obtained from quantitative PCR was analyzed by unpaired Student’s t test. Correlation between gene expression from microarrays and quantitative PCR was analyzed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient using GraphPad Prism software, version 6.01 (La Jolla, CA). The Gene Expression Omnibus accession number for the microarray data set reported in this paper is GSE93041.

RESULTS

To explore possible common mechanisms of action between KT and SD therapies, we treated mice with KT (3 mg/kg), SD (12 hours), or saline (control). Immobility on the FST, a standardized test for antidepressant response, was used as a measure of efficacy (Figure 1A). When compared with control mice, we observed a decrease in immobility to both SD- and KT-treated mice (p < .05, t test) (Figure 1B, left) with no significant difference between treated groups. Our results are consistent with those of other studies (30,37–39). Interestingly, analyzing the activity in 1-minute bins, we observed that all animal groups gradually become immobile, with the saline group being the most immobile. However, the SD group shows a recovery within the sixth minute (Figure 1B, right).

We next analyzed the transcriptome in the mouse ACC (40), a region analogous to the ACC in humans (Figure 1C). Using a threshold of p < .05 (see Methods and Materials), we found changes in expression levels of 584 and 1149 genes in SD- and KT-treated animals, respectively (Figure 1D). We identified 64 transcripts common to the two groups (p = 6.10^−8, hypergeometric test), (Figure 2A, Supplemental Table S1) associated with specific ontological categories related to the circadian clock and neuronal plasticity. These include entrainment of the circadian clock, regulation of dendrite morphology, ribosome function, nucleic acid binding, and cellular metabolic processes (Figure 2B).

Interestingly, we identified a higher proportion of overlapping rhythmic genes in the brain (suprachiasmatic nucleus) than in a peripheral clock organ (liver), implying that the actions of SD and KT genes predominantly affect the central nervous system (Figure 2C). Notably, we identified Ciart (circadian-associated repressor of transcription, also known as Chrono or Gm129), a gene whose product has been recently described to inhibit circadian transcription by direct binding to the CLOCK:BMAL1 activator complex (41,42) (Figure 2D). Moreover, on examination of the Expression Data Atlas, we found that this gene is highly expressed in the brain, specifically in the cerebral cortex and corpus striatum (Supplemental Figure S1) (43).

We used three systems to evaluate the overlap between KT and SD treatments in terms of biological pathways and processes: shared GO, KEGG, and GSEA. To circumvent the possible exclusion of potentially relevant genes, as noted, we grouped a restricted number of 64 common genes (representing a small portion of the differentially expressed genes), 5% (KT) and 11% (SD) (Figure 2A), and performed a gene-enrichment analysis with the full set of transcriptionally altered genes (p < .05, t test) from both experimental groups. Shared GO categories and KEGG pathways between SD and KT (p < .05, hypergeometric test corrected by false discovery rate method) include functional enrichment related to neuronal plasticity including nervous system development, synaptic transmission, and intracellular signal transduction, such as the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway (Figure 2E). Although we identified a relatively small number of overlapping transcripts, the sets of genes significantly responsive to either SD or KT treatments are relevant to specific biological pathways.

To further detect synchronized variations in the expression within groups of functionally correlated genes, we analyzed the data by GSEA using a cutoff value of p < .05 (see Methods and Materials). This analysis revealed that although some functions are exclusive for one or the other group (Figure 3), specific biological processes are shared between the two treatments, including ligand-receptor interactions, ion binding, neuron differentiation, anatomical structure development, protein transport, ribosome, cellular localization, and regulation of gene expression.

In support of a circadian hypothesis for the mode of action of KT and SD therapies, the GSEA identified a set of circadian rhythm genes (Figure 3). Distinct transcripts corresponding to core clock genes included Per2, Npas4, Rorb, Dpb, and Ciart that were downregulated by both treatments as revealed by quantitative PCR and microarray analyses (Figure 4A, B). We speculate that because the core clock controls an extensive array of neuronal functions (11,44), it might be centrally positioned to act as an effector on neuronal responses.

In addition, recent evidence demonstrates that the circadian clock controls some components of the NOTCH and MAPK signaling pathways (45–48). We found altered expression levels of transcripts belonging to the NOTCH and MAPK pathways with both KT and SD interventions (Figures 2 and 3, Supplemental Table S2).

We hypothesize that the fast-acting antidepressant effects of both KT and SD therapies could involve the reorganization of neuronal circuits controlled by the circadian clock (Figure 4C). The specific circadian signature(s) common to KT and SD therapies that are described here represent an important step toward a molecular understanding of how these antidepressants operate and establishes a valuable basis toward the development of pharmacological and therapeutic strategies to possibly reset abnormal circadian cycles.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study that illustrates the presence of common transcriptional programs elicited in response to KT and SD therapies. While other studies have indicated the complex pharmacological actions of KT, only one (49) included transcriptome profiling and none, so far as we know, compared its transcriptional changes with SD.

We collected brain tissue at one time point (ZT 13) to avoid the confounding factor of time-dependent variability (50,51) and centered our analyses on the ACC to decrease regional variability (49). The ACC is a key region associated with depressive symptoms and is likely to play a role in the mechanism of action of antidepressants (12,27). Furthermore, circadian function in MDD patients, compared with nonpsychiatric control subjects, is profoundly disrupted in the ACC (12,26).
We administered the FST, a standardized behavioral measure used in rodents for predicting efficacy of antidepressants (31). Our results showed similar efficacy for SD and KT groups that was significantly different from the saline-treated control group. Antidepressant-like activity on the FST for KT (39,52,53) and SD (30,37) has been previously reported.

We applied three pathway analyses, GO, KEGG, and GSEA, to analyze our findings. GO identifies molecular function, cellular component, and biological processes (54). We found 64 genes common to both SD and KT. Of these, a greater number was responsive to SD (11%) compared with KT (5%). Shared GO and KEGG analysis (55) indicated an overrepresentation of genes relevant to neuronal plasticity, nervous system development, synaptic transmission, and intracellular signal transduction including the MAPK signaling pathway. We used the GSEA for the identification of biological functions. Importantly, we identified circadian rhythm genes, ligand-receptor interactions, ion binding, neuronal differentiation, anatomical structure development, and regulation of gene expression. Five circadian transcripts (Per2, Npas4, Rorb, Dbp, and Ciart) were downregulated by both treatments. In contrast, Per1 was differentially expressed in SD (upregulated) and KT (downregulated) treatments.

Our results are consistent with our earlier findings in neuronal cell culture (NG108-15) showing that KT downregulates Per2 via its inhibitory actions on the heterodimer, CLOCK/BMAL1, a key regulatory circadian component driving clock gene transcription (25). SD-induced transcriptional changes may involve similar mechanisms to repress DNA-binding of CLOCK/BMAL1 (50). This could potentially provide a mechanism by which resetting clock gene machinery could normalize dysregulated circadian rhythms and rapidly treat MDD.

KT is a noncompetitive high-affinity N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist, a glutamate receptor, with complex actions at multiple sites. These include the inhibition of intraneuronal
N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors, the release of presynaptic glutamate, activation of postsynaptic α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid receptors, as well as activation of synaptogenic intracellular signaling pathways including brain-derived neurotrophic factor and mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) (56). Gsk3β, a potent inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 and a circadian-relevant gene, potentiates KT’s antidepressant effects in mice (57,58) and is thought to contribute to KT’s sustained actions beyond its relatively short half-life (2–3 hours) (59,60). These processes could facilitate synaptogenesis contributing to KT’s rapid antidepressant activity (56).

We showed that both KT and SD alter MAPK signaling (45–48,61). As seen in rodents, inhibition of MAPK blocks its antidepressant actions resulting in depressive-like behavior (62,63). Moreover, it has been observed that the MAPK pathway is able to control the circadian clock (47). The MAPK pathway responds to excitatory glutamatergic signaling controlling synaptic plasticity and higher brain processes such as learning and memory. Importantly, this pathway has been related to neuro-pathological processes including depression (64).

We also identified NOTCH as a common signaling pathway for SD and KT. The NOTCH signaling pathway has been reported in SD studies in the periphery in humans (65) and is associated with epigenetic mechanisms related to altered methylation following sleep loss, likely acting at the level of the CLOCK/BMAL1 complex to alter circadian clock gene expression (66). To our knowledge, there are no other studies showing KT’s effect on this pathway. The NOTCH pathway has been largely implicated in brain development (67,68). Moreover, recent discoveries revealed that this pathway has a prominent role in neuronal plasticity in adult brain (69). Also, it has been observed that the circadian clock controls some components of the NOTCH signaling pathway (45,46).

Both the MAPK and NOTCH pathways contribute to adult brain function by controlling processes such as cell migration, morphology, and nerve maturation (69,70). Bagot et al. (71) have used a model based on a social-interaction paradigm, subjecting the mice to a chronic social defeat stress to induce a depression-like state. This is likely to induce transcriptional responses that differ from our mice, because we used an experimental paradigm that does not include chronic stress (72). Notwithstanding, because both paradigms used KT as an antidepressant, we found important similarities in KT-related gene responses. Both studies identify activation of the MAPK pathway as transcriptionally altered by KT and overall
underscore the effect of KT and SD on neuronal plasticity (Supplemental Table S2). Indeed, Bagot et al. (71) found genes encoding biological functions related to plasticity, including nerve maturation, cell maturation, and ion transport in the group of responders to KT. These were also found in our analysis. These findings imply that KT and SD may trigger similar mechanisms of neuronal reorganization, regulating central nervous system development, neuronal differentiation, and neuronal spine remodeling, which appear to be under circadian control (73–76). While the fact that Bagot et al. (71) did not find alterations in clock genes could be due to the timing of the collection of samples. It is important to stress that in our study the comparison is not between two pharmacological treatments, but rather between a pharmacological treatment and a nonpharmacological treatment.

Moreover, the ACC receives cholinergic afferents from the prefrontal cortex (40), which can be induced by environmental stressors, inducing depression and mood regulation (77). This observation coincides with our results showing a downregulation of the ACh receptor Chm2 (Supplemental Tables S1 and S2). Importantly, it has been postulated that rapid eye movement sleep disinhibition in depression is a consequence of a cholinergic neuronal overactivity (78). Therefore, our results support the notion that the effects of SD and KT as rapid antidepressants might involve a common mechanism on the sleep physiology and neuronal plasticity triggered by the circadian clock.

As noted previously, the ACC is an important pathophysiological structure in depression. Our findings that both KT and SD could modulate, in a similar manner, circadian clock genes in the ACC of mice reveal the presence of common mechanisms of action related to rapid improvement in depressive symptoms. The ACC has extensive projections to multiple brain regions including the hippocampus, amygdala, and striatum (27). Thus, disruption in clock gene expression in the ACC could account for profound changes associated with depression such as altered hormone secretion, temperature, mood, and sleep rhythms (12). Direct evidence from our microarray analyses of circadian clock genes in postmortem ACC of MDD patients, compared with matched control subjects, shows a significant disruption in gene expression (26). Thus, the common mode of actions of KT and SD on clock gene expression could help identify new targets for rapid and improved treatment.

The FST is a reliable measure of antidepressant efficacy in rodents (2,10,30,39,53,79), evoking despair-like behavior. Yet FST could be stressful and might trigger stress-related transcriptional responses. To minimize the effects of stress in our analysis, all groups of mice including the saline control were subjected to the FST. Moreover, depression is frequently accompanied by anxiety, and some antidepressants can also acts as anxiolytic agents (80). Also, anhedonia, the loss of interest in normally pleasurable rewarding activities, is a main symptom of depression (81). Thus, future behavioral studies will explore the possible role played by anxiety and anhedonia.

Although the response time to low-dose KT varies considerably in patients with MDD, this does not appear to be the case in laboratory mice. We have carefully evaluated the best
possible experimental conditions for the comparison between KT and SD. We reasoned that the comparison of the effects elicited by both treatments should be carefully designed to avoid possible differential responses. Based on previous reports, we reasoned the following: 1) mice needed to be genetically identical and age matched; 2) an appropriate timing for both treatments needed to be applied to elicit very similar behavioral effects in mice (30,39,53); and 3) the molecular analysis needed to be timed at a period in which both treatments elicited similar effects (30,39,53). We considered that designing a clear and simple paradigm in a strain of mice already tested for both treatments was crucial to avoiding confounding factors and to identifying key molecular responses common in both treatments. Further insight could be obtained by exploring additional timings for treatments. These may reveal useful data in the possible design of chronotherapies. Future research that will apply multiple time points will reveal whether our findings are stable or change with time. Finally, future research could compare higher KT doses with doses of 3 mg/kg on circadian clock gene expression. A dose of 3 mg/kg has been previously reported to have an antidepressant effect on the FST starting 30 minutes postinjection in mice (39,53,82).

In conclusion, common actions of KT and SD on clock gene expression could help identify new targets for rapid and improved treatment. While further studies will allow the determination of the precise role of the circadian clock in the antidepressant actions of SD and KT, our findings reveal a previously unappreciated convergence of genes and pathways between SD and KT, opening new research avenues to design chronopharmacological strategies to treat MDD.
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